

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/619,951	07/15/2003	David Lynton Jephcott	EPCLP0104USA	7821
23908 7:	590 06/22/2004		EXAM	INER
RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP			HESS, DOUGLAS A	
1621 EUCLID NINETEENTH	= = : :: :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44115			3651	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)		Λ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assign Summany	10/619,951	JEPHCOTT, DAVID LYNTON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Douglas A Hess	3651				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ly 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/619,951

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Back (US Pat. 5,772,778).

See the attached marked up drawing figures 1-3 of Back depicting the claimed features.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiselfish et al. (US Pat. 4,700,633)

Art Unit: 3651

Weiselfish et al. teach a drive transferring member(see marked up copy of attached figure 4 of Weiselfish et al.) on one rail utilizing an RF transmitter on an axle of a drive transferring member (see marked up copy of attached figure 6 of Weiselfish et al.). Weiselfish et al. fail to teach the 3 or more rails with an aperture within the rails. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide one of many well known transfer rail systems (such as one rail as taught by Weiselfish et al., or 4 rails as taught by Back above, or two rails as taught by cited prior art references) since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of rail systems for conveying in the mechanical arts and the selection of any of these well known equivalents to that of the applicant would be well within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Since claims 5 and 6 generically claim an RF system that does not appear to be dependent upon the 3 or more rail system of the applicants, claims 1, 5, and 6 do not provide a patentable departure from the device of Weiselfish et al.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Hess whose telephone number is 703-308-3428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs 5:30 4:00.

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on 703-308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas A Hess Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

DAH June 9, 2004